

2014 Current Fiscal Year Report: Response Systems to Adult Sexual Assault Crimes

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 06:37:26 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2014

3. Committee or Subcommittee

Response Systems to Adult Sexual Assault Crimes

3b. GSA Committee No.

84563

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

04/29/2013

6. Expected Renewal Date

04/29/2015

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

Yes

8b. Specific Termination Authority

H.R. 4310

8c. Actual Term Date

07/27/2014

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Terminate

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Yes

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Section 576(a)(1) of the National Defense

Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239

13. Effective Date

01/22/2013

14. Committee Type

Ad hoc

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee Other Committee

16a. Total Number of Reports 1

16b. Report Date Report Title

06/27/2014 Report of the Response Systems to Adult Sexual Assault Crimes Panel

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 7 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 7

Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	11/07/2013	11/08/2013
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	12/11/2013	12/12/2013

The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	01/30/2014 - 01/30/2014
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	05/05/2014 - 05/06/2014
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	05/16/2014 - 05/16/2014
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	05/29/2014 - 05/30/2014
The Response Systems Panel will conduct an independent review and assessment of the systems used to investigate, prosecute, and adjudicate crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under Section 920 of title 10, U.S.C. (Article 120, Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)), for the purpose of developing recommendations regarding how to improve the effectiveness of such systems.	06/16/2014 - 06/16/2014

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 7

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$1,106,503.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$117,460.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$42,374.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$56,273.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$398,048.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$1,720,658.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	12.50	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Secretary of Defense, as required by Section 576(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended) and 41 C.F.R. § 102-3.50(a), established the Response Systems Panel.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

Pursuant to Section 567(b)(1)(A) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), the Response Systems Panel is comprised of nine members, five of whom are appointed by the Secretary of Defense and one member each appointed by the Chairmen and Ranking Members of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, respectively. Appointments shall be made not later

than 120 days after the date of the enactment of the FY 2013 NDAA.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

Consistent with Sections 576(b)(6) and (7) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), the Response Systems Panel shall meet at the call of the Chair, and the Chair shall call the first meeting of the Response Systems Panel not later than 60 days after the date of the appointment of all the members of the Response Systems Panel. The Committee shall meet, at a minimum, once per year.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The committee is required by Section 576(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the Department of Defense determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor (i.e., the General Counsel for the Department of Defense).

21. Remarks

Designated Federal Officer

Maria Fried DFO

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Bryant, Harvey	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cook, Holly	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Dunn, Malinda	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fernandez, Mai	06/24/2013	11/30/2014	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hillman, Elizabeth	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	professor	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Holtzman, Elizabeth	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Houck, James	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	professor	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jones, Barbara	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McGuire, Colleen	05/02/2013	11/30/2014	Executive Director	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Bryant, Harvey [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013	11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Cooke, John [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Deputy Director, Federal Judicial Center	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Dunn, Malinda [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Henley, Stephen [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Administrative Law Judge, Dept of Labor	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Hillman, Elizabeth [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Professor, University of California-Hastings School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jaus, Rhonnie [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Kings County DA Office, Chief of Sex Crimes Division	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jones, Barbara [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Morris, Lawrence [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	General Counsel, Catholic University of America	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Scholz, Dawn [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Administrative Law Judge, Social Security Admin	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Strand, Russell [Comparative Systems]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	U.S. Army Military Police School Division Head	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Altenburg, John [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Corn, Geoffrey [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Professor, South Texas College of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Frost, Joye [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Director, DoJ Office for Victims of Crime	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Ham, Carter [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Retired Military Commander	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hillman, Elizabeth [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Professor, University of California-Hastings School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Holtzman, Elizabeth [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Houck, James [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Professor, Pennsylvania State University School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jones, Barbara [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Turner, Lisa [Role of the Commander]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Judge Advocate	Regular Government Employee (RGE) Member
Anderson, Michelle [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Dean and Professor, CUNY School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Cassara, William [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Fernandez, Mai [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Executive Director, National Center for Victims of Crime	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Garvin, Margaret [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Executive Director, National Crime Victim Law Institute	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Holtzman, Elizabeth [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Jones, Barbara [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Attorney	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
McGuire, Colleen [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2013	Executive Director, Delta Gamma Fraternity	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Schenck, Lisa [Victim Services]	09/23/2013 11/14/2014	Professor, George Washington University School of Law	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 36

Narrative Description

Section 576(d)(1) of the FY 2013 NDAA provides that in conducting a systems review and assessment, the Response Systems Panel shall provide recommendations on how to improve the effectiveness of the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of crimes involving adult sexual assault and related offenses, under 10 U.S.C. 920 (Article 120 of the UCMJ).

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

N/A

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

The purpose of the Panel is to make recommendations regarding the investigation, prosecution, and adjudication of sexual assault in the military, which may ultimately cause an increase in funds expended in this area.

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee

for the life of the committee?

125

Number of Recommendations Comments

Recommendations 1-12: Measuring the Scope of Sexual Assault in the Military and Civilian Communities. Recommendations 13-43: Assessing the Role of the Commander: Commander Responsibility and Accountability. Recommendations 44-79: Strengthening the Special Victim Counsel Program, Victim Rights, Support, and Services. Recommendations 80-87: Ensuring Fairness and Due Process to Those Suspected or Accused of Sexual Assault. Recommendations 88-125: Improving Military Justice Procedures.

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

N/A

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

0%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

N/A

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

N/A

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities



Reallocated resources



Issued new regulation



Proposed legislation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Action Comments

N/A

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

N/A

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Access Comments

N/A